

# Calendar No. 1134

68TH CONGRESS }  
2d Session }

SENATE

{ REPORT  
No. 1067

## TO PROTECT TRADE-MARKS USED IN COMMERCE, AND TO AUTHORIZE THE REGISTRATION OF SUCH TRADE-MARKS

FEBRUARY 3 (calendar day, FEBRUARY 10), 1925.—Ordered to be printed

Mr. ERNST, from the Committee on Patents, submitted the following

### REPORT

[To accompany S. 2679]

The Committee on Patents, to whom was referred the bill (S. 2679) to protect trade-marks used in commerce, to authorize the registration of such trade-marks, and for other purposes, having considered the same, report thereon with the recommendation that it do pass as amended.

This bill was considered in public hearings at a joint meeting of the Senate and House Committees on Patents held in room 210, Senate Office Building, Washington, D. C., on January 20 and 21, 1925. H. R. 8637, introduced by Hon. Florian Lampert, chairman of the House committee, the joint committee was advised, was identical with S. 2679.

Every possible consideration was shown those in attendance at the hearings, who indicated an interest in the pending legislation, and all persons present who desired to be heard for the purpose of the record were given opportunities to make statements before adjournment.

Among those addressing the committee were Arthur William Barber of New York City, representing the United States Trade-Mark Association and clients; Otto R. Barnett, of Chicago, attorney at law; William W. Dodge, of Washington, D. C., attorney; Karl Fenning, Assistant Commissioner, United States Patent Office; Arthur C. Fraser, New York City, chairman of the committee on trade-mark legislation, New York Patent Law Association, of New York City; Arthur P. Greeley, Washington Loan & Trust Co. Building, Washington, D. C.; Bernard A. Kosicki, of Washington, D. C., Bureau of Foreign and Domestic Commerce, Department of Commerce; James T. Newton, Washington, D. C., member of the

Washington branch of the American Patent Law Association; A. C. Paul, of Minneapolis, Minn., member of the section of patent, trade-mark, and copyright law of the American Bar Association; E. L. Rice, of Washington, D. C., attorney; Thomas E. Robertson, Washington, D. C., Commissioner United States Patent Office; Edward S. Rogers, Chicago, Ill., chairman of the section of patent, trade-mark, and copyright law of the American Bar Association; Robert Watson, Washington, D. C., attorney at law; and Robert N. Zacharias, Washington, D. C., attorney at law.

The chairman began the hearings by reading a short statement setting out the history of S. 2679 and H. R. 8637, and explained that the joint meeting followed a suggestion by representations of the American Bar Association, the New York Patent Law Association, the Chicago Patent Law Association, and others.

The chairman advised the joint committee of the comment favorable to the pending bill and also of certain comment in which suggestions were made in opposition to certain sections of the measure. During discussion of the measure several of those who appeared in opposition to certain features of the bill assured the joint committee that they appreciated the intelligent and painstaking work which had been done by the experts engaged over a period of years in the preparation of the bill and expressed their approval of the same, except as to a few of its provisions.

At the meeting of the Senate committee on February 4, 1925, at 10 a. m., when S. 2679 was considered in executive session, the committee unanimously approved the bill and requested the chairman to report the bill favorably, as amended.